

A hierarchy of sum-rules in out of equilibrium QFT

Julien F. J. Salgado *

*Institut für Physik, Universität Dortmund
D-44221 Dortmund, Germany
(February 1, 2008)*

Abstract

Generalising a result of classical mechanics an infinite set of conserved quantities can be found for the bare equations of motion describing the evolution of a scalar field in out of equilibrium quantum field theory, in the large N approximation, with initial conditions corresponding to a thermal system of the free Hamiltonian. Using these new conserved quantities, sum-rules relating integrals over the mode-functions (momenta) can be derived. More, the corresponding renormalised quantities can also be computed out thus giving information about the evolution of the already known renormalised equations; finally it is also possible to write a renormalised version of the sum-rules.

PACS numbers: 02.30.Hq;11.10.-z;98.80.Cq

Typeset using REVTeX

*e-mail: salgado@yoda.physik.uni-dortmund.de

INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, out of equilibrium quantum field theory is believed to act as a powerful tool in order to understand systems within which the energy or particle density are high. This is typically the case for cosmological problems [1–3] such as inflation [4–8], for which the study of preheating [9,10] is now well understood with a self consistent treatment in out of equilibrium QFT. It is now understood how the particle production behaves [11,12], in particular what is the contribution of the quantum fluctuation in this process. The physics of heavy ion can also be studied [13] with this theory in particular the hadronisation process of the quark gluon plasma. The analysis of initial condition is also well understood [14,15].

During the last couple of years many impressive results have been found using accurate numerical computations with different approximations in the form of the equation of motion: one loop [16], Hartree, leading order in large N expansion and even recently beyond the leading order. The variety was not only in the methods but also in the models and the physical problems that were studied: systems with fermions [17], electrodynamics [18,19], non-Abelian gauge fields [9],...

Although the scope of the theory is becoming wider and wider there only few analytical results compared to the numerical works. The new analytical result are mainly well controlled expansion [2], that have been occasionally re-summed [18,20]. Notwithstanding all those attempts exact results have seldom been found [21], only two sum-rules were found [22] in the case of a scalar field with initial conditions corresponding to a zero temperature bath, one has to mention that a generalisation for non zero temperature has been predicted using one loop computation [23].

The aim of the present paper is to prove the existence of a hierarchy of sum-rules that generalise the previous result. Using such sum-rules one should be able to find more on the system, since just using the first to sum-rules one was able to find out the asymptotical equation of state of the quantum system.

In order to get a progressive understanding the classical results will be summarised in the following section. Using those result it will be possible to construct in section II the bare conserved quantities for a scalar field in out of equilibrium field theory. Bare sum-rules expressed in terms of momenta, *i.e.* integrals over the modes and their derivate, will be explicated in section III. The analysis of the renormalisation process will be described in section IV for the conserved quantities; in section V it will be shown how the momenta and the sum-rules can be renormalised in a such way that the sum-rules still hold for renormalised quantities.

I. CLASSICAL OSCILLATOR IN RADIAL QUARTIC POTENTIAL

We just recall some results on the radial quartic potential, that have been studied by Choodnovsky and Choodnovsky [24,25] and extended by Wojciechowski [26]. We shall give a brief review of the results of Grosse [27], we consider a N -dimensional system whose evolution is given from the following Hamiltonian:

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N (p_i^2 + r_k q_i^2) + \frac{1}{4} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N q_i^2 \right)^2, \quad (1.1)$$

where all the masses are different.

One can say that the $O(N)$ invariance is broken by different masses. Nonetheless the system remains integrable, since one can find a complete set of (independent) and commuting conserved quantities which can be written as:

$$K_i = \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{(q_i p_j - q_j p_i)^2}{r_j - r_i} + 2p_i^2 + 2r_i q_i^2 + q_i^2 \sum_{j=1}^N q_j^2 \quad (1.2)$$

It is straight forward to check the following:

$$\{K_i, K_j\} = 0 \quad (1.3)$$

One should notice that, the Hamiltonian can easily be reconstructed from the K_i , one trivially has:

$$H = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^N K_i \quad (1.4)$$

II. BARE CONSERVED QUANTITIES IN OUT OF EQUILIBRIUM QFT

The main idea is to generalise the previous result to the infinite number of equation describing the motion of a scalar field in out of equilibrium quantum field theory. In the leading order in the large N expansion the equations of motion read (for a detailed derivation one should refer to [23,28,29,3])

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \mathcal{M}(t)^2 \right) \phi(t) &= 0 \\ \left(\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + k^2 + \mathcal{M}(t)^2 \right) \varphi_k(t) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where the effective mass $\mathcal{M}$ is defined through the bare fluctuations Σ_B as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(t)^2 &= m^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \phi(t)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \Sigma(t) \\ \Sigma_B(t) &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^\Lambda \coth\left(\frac{\beta W_k}{2}\right) |\varphi_k(t)|^2 k^2 dk \\ W_k &= \sqrt{k^2 + \mathcal{M}(0)^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where Λ denotes the cut-off.

The initial conditions read

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_k(0) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{W_k}} \\ \dot{\varphi}_k(0) &= -i\sqrt{W_k} \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

One should notice that since these conditions are smooth functions of k , the mode functions $\varphi_k(t)$ will also have the same property at all time t . The latter definitions hold whenever the initial system corresponds to a thermal state of the free Hamiltonian, with a temperature of β^{-1} . The zero temperature limit can be obtained letting β go to $+\infty$. Hitherto, it is always possible to write the equation of motion as:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \mathcal{M}(t)^2 \right) \psi_\eta(t) &= 0 \\ \left(\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + k^2 + \mathcal{M}(t)^2 \right) \psi_k(t) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Where the effective mass is written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(t)^2 &= m^2 + \psi_\eta(t)^2 + S_0(t) \\ S_0(t) &= \int_0^\Lambda |\psi_k(t)|^2 dk \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

To get such an expression it is enough to introduce the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\eta &= \phi \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \\ \psi_k &= k \varphi_k \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{8\pi^2} \coth \frac{\beta W_k}{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

It is also convenient to introduce:

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \psi_\eta^2 + S_0 = \psi_\eta^2 + \int_0^\Lambda |\psi_k(t)|^2 dk \\ r_k &= m^2 + k^2 \\ r_\eta &= m^2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

This quantity S appears as a general quadratic sum, this will play the same role than the sum $\sum q_i^2$ in the classical analysis. Nevertheless one should notice that now the quantities are complex and that the condensate ϕ plays a particular role.

With these notations, the natural quantities that generalise those defined by equation (1.2) are:

$$\begin{aligned} K_k &= \int_0^\Lambda \frac{|\psi_k \dot{\psi}_{k'} - \dot{\psi}_k \psi_{k'}|^2}{k'^2 - k^2} dk' - \frac{1}{k^2} |\psi_\eta \dot{\psi}_k - \dot{\psi}_\eta \psi_k|^2 + 2(|\dot{\psi}_k|^2 + (m^2 + k^2)|\psi_k^2|) + |\psi_k|^2 S \\ K_\eta &= \int_0^\Lambda \frac{|\psi_\eta \dot{\psi}_k - \dot{\psi}_\eta \psi_k|^2}{k^2} dk + 2(\dot{\psi}_\eta^2 + m^2 \psi_\eta^2) + \psi_\eta^2 S \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

In order to manipulate these quantities we have to know whether there are well defined. Let first study K_η , the only tricky term is the $1/k^2$ in the integral, but this is in fact a false pole since ψ_k contains k factor. Since φ_k is regular K_η is well defined.

The same can be said for the $1/k^2$ term of K_k . So for K_k the term that might be ill defined is the first integral which contains $1/(k'^2 - k^2)$, but since $\psi_k(t)$ is a smooth function of k

and t , then the ratio has a finite value when k' approaches k , hence the integral is well defined and so is K_k .

Now we shall prove that these quantities K_k and K_η **do not** depend on time. This can be directly done using the equation of motion for ψ_η and the ψ_k . The main ingredient of the proof is the use of the following property:

$$\dot{S} = \psi_\eta \dot{\psi}_\eta + \int_0^\Lambda (\dot{\psi}_k \psi_k^* + \psi_k \dot{\psi}_k^*) \quad (2.9)$$

Lets study K_η simple algebraic computation using the equation of motion leads to:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{K}_\eta &= \int_0^\Lambda \frac{1}{k^2} ((\psi_\eta \ddot{\psi}_k - \ddot{\psi}_\eta \psi_k)(\psi_\eta \dot{\psi}_k - \dot{\psi}_\eta \psi_k)^* + \text{c.c.}) dk \\ &\quad + 4\psi_\eta(\ddot{\psi}_\eta + m^2\psi_\eta) + 2\psi_\eta \dot{\psi}_\eta S + \psi_\eta \dot{S} \\ &= \int_0^\Lambda \frac{1}{k^2} ((\psi_\eta(m^2 + S)\psi_k - \psi_k(m^2 + k^2 + S)\psi_\eta)(\psi_\eta \dot{\psi}_k - \dot{\psi}_\eta \psi_k)^* + \text{c.c.}) dk \\ &\quad - 4\psi_\eta(\ddot{\psi}_\eta + m^2\psi_\eta) + 2\psi_\eta \dot{\psi}_\eta S + \psi_\eta \dot{S} \\ &= \psi_\eta \int_0^\Lambda (\psi_k(\psi_\eta \dot{\psi}_\eta - \dot{\psi}_k \psi_\eta)^* + \text{c.c.}) dk - 2\psi_\eta \dot{\psi}_\eta S + \psi_\eta^2 \dot{S} \\ &= 2\psi_\eta \dot{\psi}_\eta (S - \psi_\eta^2) - \psi_\eta^2 (\dot{S} - 2\psi_\eta \dot{\psi}_\eta) - 2\psi_\eta \dot{\psi}_\eta S + \psi_\eta^2 \dot{S} \\ \dot{K}_\eta &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

A similar computation proves that the K_k are also time independent.

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{K}_k &= \int_0^\Lambda \frac{1}{k'^2 - k^2} ((\psi_k \ddot{\psi}_{k'} - \ddot{\psi}_{k'} \psi_k)(\psi_k \dot{\psi}_{k'} - \dot{\psi}_{k'} \psi_k)^* + \text{c.c.}) dk' \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{k^2} ((\psi_\eta \ddot{\psi}_k - \ddot{\psi}_\eta \psi_k)(\psi_\eta \dot{\psi}_k - \dot{\psi}_\eta \psi_k)^* + \text{c.c.}) \\ &\quad + 2(\ddot{\psi}_k \dot{\psi}_k^* + (m^2 + k^2)\psi_k \dot{\psi}_k^* + \text{c.c.}) \\ &\quad + (\psi_k \dot{\psi}_k^* - \dot{\psi}_k \psi_k^*)S + |\psi_k|^2 \dot{S} \\ &= \int_0^\Lambda \frac{1}{k'^2 - k^2} (\psi_k \psi_{k'}(k^2 - k'^2)(\psi_k \dot{\psi}_{k'} - \dot{\psi}_{k'} \psi_k)^* + \text{c.c.}) dk' \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{k^2} (\psi_\eta \psi_k(-k^2)(\psi_\eta \dot{\psi}_k - \dot{\psi}_\eta \psi_k)^* + \text{c.c.}) \\ &\quad + 2(-S\psi_k \dot{\psi}_k^* + \text{c.c.}) \\ &\quad + (\psi_k \dot{\psi}_k^* - \dot{\psi}_k \psi_k^*)S + |\psi_k|^2 \dot{S} \\ &= \int_0^\Lambda (\psi_k \psi_{k'}(\psi_{k'} \dot{\psi}_k - \dot{\psi}_k \psi_{k'})^* + \text{c.c.}) dk' \\ &\quad + \psi_\eta (\psi_k(\dot{\psi}_\eta \psi_k - \dot{\psi}_\eta \psi_k)^* + \text{c.c.}) \\ &\quad + 2(-S\psi_k \dot{\psi}_k^* + \text{c.c.}) \\ &= (\psi_k \dot{\psi}_k^* + \text{c.c.})(S - \psi_\eta^2) - |\psi_k|^2 (\dot{S} - 2\psi_\eta \dot{\psi}_\eta) \\ &\quad + \psi_\eta^2 (\psi_k \dot{\psi}_k^* + \text{c.c.}) - 2\psi_\eta \dot{\psi}_\eta |\psi_k|^2 \\ &\quad - S(\psi_k \dot{\psi}_k^* + \text{c.c.}) + \dot{S} |\psi_k|^2 \\ \dot{K}_k &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

The final step is to express the conserved quantities in term of the physical modes, that is ϕ and φ_k ; we get the following bare conserved quantities, the first is given from K_η and reads

$$C_{0,B} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{m_B^2}{2}\phi^2 + \frac{\lambda_B}{8}\phi^4 + \frac{\lambda_B}{32\pi^2} \int_0^\Lambda \coth \frac{\beta W_k}{2} \left[|\phi\dot{\varphi}_k - \dot{\phi}\varphi_k|^2 + k^2|\varphi_k|^2\phi^2 \right] dk , \quad (2.12)$$

and the other are a set $C(k)_B$ given from the K_k :

$$C(k)_B = \frac{k^2}{2} \left(|\dot{\varphi}_k|^2 + (m_B^2 + k^2)|\varphi_k|^2 \right) + \frac{\lambda_B}{8} \left(k^2|\varphi_k|^2\phi^2 - |\phi\dot{\varphi}_k - \dot{\phi}\varphi_k|^2 \right) + \frac{\lambda_B k^2}{32\pi^2} \int_0^\Lambda k'^2 \coth \frac{\beta W_{k'}}{2} \left[\frac{|\varphi_k\dot{\varphi}_{k'} - \dot{\varphi}_k\varphi_{k'}|^2}{k'^2 - k^2} + |\varphi_k|^2|\varphi_{k'}|^2 \right] dk' . \quad (2.13)$$

One should notice, that in the last set of conserved quantities $C(k=0)_B$ is indeed trivial, since it is expressed in term of a Wronskian (ϕ and φ_0 are solution of the same second order differential equation),

$$C(0)_B = -\frac{\lambda_B}{2} |\phi\dot{\varphi}_0 - \dot{\phi}\varphi_0|^2 . \quad (2.14)$$

III. HIERARCHY OF BARE SUM-RULES

Although we have already found an infinite number of relations that can be considered as sum-rules, it is possible to go further and prove the existence of relations between several integrals over the modes.

The first sum-rule will be given from

$$E_{0,B} = C_{0,B} + \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^\Lambda C(k)_B \coth \frac{\beta W_k}{2} dk , \quad (3.1)$$

whereas the other will be derivated from

$$E_{n,B} = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\Lambda k^{2n} C(k)_B \coth \frac{\beta W_k}{2} dk , \quad n \geq 1 . \quad (3.2)$$

All the quantities $E_{n,B}$ are trivially conserved and a constant may be added without changing this property.

One can easily show that:

$$E_{0,B} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{m_B^2}{2}\phi^2 + \frac{\lambda_B}{8}(\phi^4 - \Sigma_B^2) + \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_0^\Lambda k^2 \coth \frac{\beta W_k}{2} (|\dot{\varphi}_k|^2 + \omega_k^2|\varphi_k|^2) dk ,$$

$$\omega_k(t) = \sqrt{k^2 + \mathcal{M}(t)^2} . \quad (3.3)$$

This shows that $E_{0,B}$ is nothing but the bare energy. This property was expected since it is a generalisation of equation (1.4).

The hierarchy of sum-rule will be given from the newly constructed conserved quantities

$E_{n,B}$ for n greater than 1, hereafter we shall use the following notation to express some integrals over the modes, that are indeed momenta:

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_{n,B} &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^\Lambda k^{2n} |\varphi_k|^2 \coth \frac{\beta W_k}{2} dk \\ \Theta_{n,B} &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^\Lambda k^{2n} |\dot{\varphi}_k|^2 \coth \frac{\beta W_k}{2} dk \\ \Xi_{n,B} &= \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_0^\Lambda k^{2n} \left(\dot{\varphi}_k \varphi_k^* + \varphi_k \dot{\varphi}_k^* \right) \coth \frac{\beta W_k}{2} dk\end{aligned}\quad (3.4)$$

One should notice that $\Sigma_{1,B}$ is nothing but Σ_B .

Using these notations it is straightforward to get

$$\begin{aligned}E_{n,B} &= \Theta_{n+1,B} + m_B^2 \Sigma_{n+1,B} + \Sigma_{n+2,B} \\ &+ \frac{\lambda_B}{4} \left(\phi^2 \Sigma_{n+1,B} - \phi^2 \Theta_{n,B} - \dot{\phi}^2 \Sigma_{n,B} + 2\phi \dot{\phi} \Xi_{n,B} + I_{n,B} + \Sigma_B \Sigma_{n+1,B} \right),\end{aligned}\quad (3.5)$$

where $I_{n,B}$ reads:

$$I_{n,B} = \frac{1}{(4\pi^2)^2} \int_0^\Lambda |\varphi_k \dot{\varphi}_{k'} - \dot{\varphi}_k \varphi_{k'}|^2 \frac{k^2 k'^2 k^{2n}}{k'^2 - k^2} \coth \frac{\beta W_k}{2} \coth \frac{\beta W_{k'}}{2} dk dk'. \quad (3.6)$$

It is possible to express $I_{n,B}$ as a sum of integrals $\Sigma_{p,B}$, $\Theta_{p,B}$ and $\Xi_{p,B}$, to achieve this one should use the trivial relation:

$$\begin{aligned}x^n &= (x - y)Q_n(x, y) + y^n \\ Q_n(x, y) &= \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} x^p y^{n-1-p}\end{aligned}\quad (3.7)$$

Hence,

$$I_{n,B} = \int_0^\Lambda \frac{k^2 k'^2 dk dk'}{4\pi^2} |\varphi_k \dot{\varphi}_{k'} - \dot{\varphi}_k \varphi_{k'}|^2 \left[Q_n(k^2, k'^2) + \frac{k'^{2n}}{k'^2 - k^2} \right] \coth \frac{\beta W_k}{2} \coth \frac{\beta W_{k'}}{2}. \quad (3.8)$$

Swapping k and k' leads to:

$$I_{n,B} = \frac{1}{2(4\pi^2)^2} \int_0^\Lambda |\varphi_k \dot{\varphi}_{k'} - \dot{\varphi}_k \varphi_{k'}|^2 Q_n(k^2, k'^2) k^2 k'^2 \coth \frac{\beta W_k}{2} \coth \frac{\beta W_{k'}}{2} dk dk'. \quad (3.9)$$

Using the following Wronskian relation

$$\varphi_k \dot{\varphi}_k^* - \dot{\varphi}_k^* \varphi_k = 2i, \quad (3.10)$$

one gets:

$$|\varphi_k \dot{\varphi}_{k'} - \dot{\varphi}_k \varphi_{k'}| = |\dot{\varphi}_k|^2 |\varphi_{k'}|^2 + |\dot{\varphi}_{k'}|^2 |\varphi_k|^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\dot{\varphi}_k \varphi_k^* + \varphi_k \dot{\varphi}_k^*) (\dot{\varphi}_{k'} \varphi_{k'}^* + \varphi_{k'} \dot{\varphi}_{k'}^*) + 2 \quad (3.11)$$

The last 2 will just give an additive constant term to $E_{n,B}$ that can be dropped out, using the latter relation, one can write $I_{n,B}$ as

$$I_{n,B} = \sum_{p=1}^n \left(\Sigma_{p,B} \Theta_{n+1-p,B} - \Xi_{p,B} \Xi_{n+1-p,B} \right) \quad (3.12)$$

One finally get an infinite number of relations between the $\Sigma_{p,B}$, $\Theta_{p,B}$ and $\Xi_{p,B}$:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{n,B} &= \Theta_{n+1,B} + m_B^2 \Sigma_{n+1,B} + \Sigma_{n+2,B} \\ &+ \frac{\lambda_B}{4} \left[\phi^2(\Sigma_{n+1,B} - \Theta_{n,B}) - \dot{\phi}^2 \Sigma_{n,B} + 2\phi \dot{\phi} \Xi_{n,B} \right. \\ &\left. + \Sigma_B \Sigma_{n+1,B} + \sum_{p=1}^n \left(\Sigma_{p,B} \Theta_{n+1-p,B} - \Xi_{p,B} \Xi_{n+1-p,B} \right) \right] \quad , n \geq 1 . \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

These equations can indeed be seen as a hierarchy of relations between integrals over the modes.

IV. RENORMALISED CONSERVED QUANTITIES

It has already been proven [3,10,23] that the equation of motion for a quantum scalar field can be written in term of renormalised quantities. Let recall here the main results that are given with more details in previous studies. There are several important points. First that since the short distance behaviour is not related to the out of equilibrium state of the system, the coupling constant is renormalised as in usual quantum field theory, that is:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_B &= \frac{\lambda_R}{1 - \frac{\lambda_R}{16\pi^2} \log \frac{\Lambda}{\mu}} \\ m_B^2 + \frac{\lambda_B}{16\pi^2} \Lambda^2 &= m_R^2 \left(1 + \frac{\lambda_B}{16\pi^2} \log \frac{\Lambda}{\mu} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Where μ is the renormalisation scale and B and R subscripts denotes the bare and renormalised quantities.

The second point is that all the modes are not modified since the effective mass $\mathcal{M}$ is not changed:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_B(t) &= \mathcal{M}_R(t) \\ m_B^2 + \frac{\lambda_B}{2} \phi(t)^2 + \frac{\lambda_B}{2} \Sigma_B(t) &= m_R^2 + \frac{\lambda_R}{2} \phi(t)^2 + \frac{\lambda_R}{2} \Sigma_R(t) \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Using these notations, the renormalised equations remains formally the same

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \mathcal{M}(t)^2 \right) \phi(t) &= 0 \\ \left(\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + k^2 + \mathcal{M}(t)^2 \right) \varphi_k(t) &= 0 \\ \mathcal{M}(t)^2 &= m_R^2 + \frac{\lambda_R}{2} \phi(t)^2 + \frac{\lambda_R}{2} \Sigma_R(t) , \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

just the fluctuations Σ are renormalised as:

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_R(t) &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^\infty k^2 \left[\coth\left(\frac{\beta W_k}{2}\right) |\varphi_k(t)|^2 - \frac{1}{k} + \frac{\Theta(k-\mu)}{2k^3} \mathcal{M}(t)^2 \right] dk \\ W_k &= \sqrt{k^2 + \mathcal{M}(0)^2}\end{aligned}\tag{4.4}$$

With the unchanged initial conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_k(0) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{W_k}} \\ \dot{\varphi}_k(0) &= -i\sqrt{W_k}\end{aligned}\tag{4.5}$$

The last point concerns the behaviour of $|\varphi_k|^2$ and $|\dot{\varphi}_k|^2$ for large momenta k , that can be found using a WKB expansion, in term of the effective potential $v(t)$, these read:

$$\begin{aligned}|\varphi_k|^2 &= \frac{1}{k} + \frac{v}{2k^3} + \frac{3v^2 - \ddot{v}}{8k^5} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{k^7}\right) \\ |\dot{\varphi}_k|^2 &= k - \frac{v}{2k} + \frac{\ddot{v} - v^2}{8k^3} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{k^5}\right) \\ v(t) &= -\mathcal{M}(t)^2\end{aligned}\tag{4.6}$$

Now in order to construct renormalised conserved quantities, we start with the previously found quantities, which are expressed in terms of the bare coupling constants and fluctuations.

A direct computation leads to:

$$\begin{aligned}\left(1 - \frac{\lambda_R}{16\pi^2} \log \frac{\Lambda}{\mu}\right) C_{0,B} &= \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{m_R^2}{2} \phi^2 + \frac{\lambda_R}{8} \phi^4 - \frac{\lambda_R}{32\pi^2} \int_0^\Lambda \left[2k\phi^2 + \frac{\Theta(k-\mu)}{k} \dot{\phi}^2 \right] dk \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda_R}{32\pi^2} \int_0^\Lambda \left[|\phi\dot{\varphi}_k - \dot{\phi}\varphi_k|^2 + k^2\phi^2|\varphi_k|^2 \right] \coth \frac{\beta W_k}{2} dk \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{m_R^2}{2} \phi^2 + \frac{\lambda_R}{8} \phi^4 \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda_R}{16\pi^2} \int_0^\Lambda \left[|\phi\dot{\varphi}_k - \dot{\phi}\varphi_k|^2 + k^2\phi^2|\varphi_k|^2 \right] \frac{dk}{e^{\beta W_k} - 1} \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda_R}{32\pi^2} \phi^2 \int_0^\Lambda \left[|\dot{\varphi}_k|^2 + k^2|\varphi_k|^2 - 2k \right] dk \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda_R}{32\pi^2} \dot{\phi}^2 \int_0^\Lambda \left[|\varphi_k|^2 - \frac{\Theta(k-\mu)}{k} \right] dk \\ &\quad - \frac{\lambda_R}{32\pi^2} \phi\dot{\phi} \int_0^\Lambda \left[\varphi_k\dot{\varphi}_k^* + \dot{\varphi}_k\varphi_k^* \right] dk\end{aligned}\tag{4.7}$$

The integral has been split into four parts each of which having, as it will be shown, a finite limit when Λ goes to infinity. The explicit temperature dependence has been put into the first integral. The latter is convergent since the mode functions have, in the worse case, a polynomial behaviour which is washed out by the exponential factor.

Only the last three integrals have to be studied with care. This is done using equations (4.6), which give the asymptotic behaviour of the modes for large k :

$$\begin{aligned}
|\dot{\varphi}_k|^2 + k^2|\varphi_k|^2 - 2k &= \frac{v^2}{4k^3} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{k^5}\right) \\
|\varphi_k|^2 - \frac{1}{k} &= \frac{v}{2k^3} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{k^5}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

This proves the convergence of the two first integrals, the last one is analysed computing:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\varphi_k \dot{\varphi}_k^*| &= |\varphi_k|^2 |\dot{\varphi}_k|^2 \\
&= \left(1 + \frac{v}{2k^2} + \frac{3v^2 - \ddot{v}}{8k^4}\right) \left(1 - \frac{v}{2k^2} + \frac{\ddot{v} - v^2}{8k^4}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{k^6}\right) \\
&= 1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{k^6}\right) \\
&= (\mathcal{R}e \varphi_k \dot{\varphi}_k^*)^2 + (\mathcal{I}m \varphi_k \dot{\varphi}_k^*)^2
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

The Wronskian relation (3.10) can be rewritten as

$$(\mathcal{I}m \varphi_k \dot{\varphi}_k^*) = 1. \tag{4.10}$$

This leads directly to

$$(\mathcal{R}e \varphi_k \dot{\varphi}_k^*) = \varphi_k \dot{\varphi}_k^* + \dot{\varphi}_k \varphi_k^* = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{k^3}\right) \tag{4.11}$$

which proves the convergence of the last integral.

Hence the renormalised conserved quantity $C_{0,R}$ reads

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{0,R} &= \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{m_R^2}{2} \phi^2 + \frac{\lambda_R}{8} \phi^4 \\
&\quad + \frac{\lambda_R}{32\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \left[(|\phi \dot{\varphi}_k - \dot{\phi} \varphi_k|^2 + k^2 \phi^2 |\varphi_k|^2) \coth \frac{\beta W_k}{2} - 2k \phi^2 - \frac{\Theta(k - \mu)}{k} \dot{\phi}^2 \right] dk
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Now it is possible to do about the same work with $C_{n,B}$, a similar computation leads to:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(1 - \frac{\lambda_R}{16\pi^2} \log \frac{\Lambda}{\mu}\right) C(k)_B &= \frac{k^2}{2} \left(|\dot{\varphi}_k|^2 + (m_R^2 + k^2) |\varphi_k|^2 \right) + \frac{\lambda_R}{8} \left(k^2 |\varphi_k|^2 \phi^2 - |\phi \dot{\varphi}_k - \dot{\phi} \varphi_k|^2 \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{\lambda_R k^2}{16\pi^2} \int_0^\Lambda \frac{k'^2}{e^{\beta W_{k'}} - 1} \left[\frac{|\varphi_k \dot{\varphi}_{k'} - \dot{\varphi}_k \varphi_{k'}|^2}{k'^2 - k^2} + |\varphi_k|^2 |\varphi_{k'}|^2 \right] dk' \\
&\quad + \frac{\lambda_R k^2}{32\pi^2} \int_0^\Lambda \left[k'^2 \left(\frac{|\varphi_k \dot{\varphi}_{k'} - \dot{\varphi}_k \varphi_{k'}|^2}{k'^2 - k^2} + |\varphi_k|^2 |\varphi_{k'}|^2 \right) - 2k' |\varphi_k|^2 \right] dk' \\
&\quad - \frac{\lambda_R k^2}{32\pi^2} \int_0^\Lambda \frac{\Theta(k - \mu)}{k'} (|\dot{\varphi}_k|^2 + k^2 |\varphi_k|^2) dk'
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

However, as it shall be shown it is not yet possible to let Λ go to infinity in this last expression.

There are three integrals that may diverge:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int^{\Lambda} \left(\frac{k'^2}{k'^2 - k^2} |\dot{\varphi}_{k'}|^2 + k'^2 |\varphi_{k'}|^2 - 2k' - \frac{k^2}{k'} \right) dk' \\
& \int^{\Lambda} \left(\frac{k'^2}{k'^2 - k^2} |\varphi_{k'}|^2 - \frac{1}{k'} \right) dk' \\
& \int^{\Lambda} \frac{k'^2}{k'^2 - k^2} \left(\varphi_k^* \dot{\varphi}_{k'}^* \dot{\varphi}_k \varphi_{k'} + \varphi_k \dot{\varphi}_{k'} \dot{\varphi}_k^* \varphi_{k'}^* \right) dk'
\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Since we are interested in the behaviour for large k' the lower bound of the integral has been omitted, and is indeed assumed to be greater than k and μ , that is avoiding the possible divergences for k' going to k that are known not to exist.

The expansions already used previously proves that the integrands of the two first integrals are such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{k'^2}{k'^2 - k^2} |\dot{\varphi}_{k'}|^2 + k'^2 |\varphi_{k'}|^2 - 2k' - \frac{k^2}{k'} &= \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{k'^3}\right) \\
\frac{k'^2}{k'^2 - k^2} |\varphi_{k'}|^2 - \frac{1}{k'} &= \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{k'^3}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

This means that the two first integrals have a finite value for large Λ .

For that last integral we shall again use the Wronskian relation (3.10) as in equation (3.11):

$$\varphi_k^* \dot{\varphi}_{k'}^* \dot{\varphi}_k \varphi_{k'} + \varphi_k \dot{\varphi}_{k'} \dot{\varphi}_k^* \varphi_{k'}^* = 2 + \frac{1}{2} (\dot{\varphi}_k \varphi_k^* + \varphi_k \dot{\varphi}_k^*) (\dot{\varphi}_{k'} \varphi_{k'}^* + \varphi_{k'} \dot{\varphi}_{k'}^*) \tag{4.16}$$

So the last equation can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int^{\Lambda} \frac{k'^2}{k'^2 - k^2} \left(\varphi_k^* \dot{\varphi}_{k'}^* \dot{\varphi}_k \varphi_{k'} + \varphi_k \dot{\varphi}_{k'} \dot{\varphi}_k^* \varphi_{k'}^* \right) dk' \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int^{\Lambda} \frac{k'^2}{k'^2 - k^2} (\dot{\varphi}_k \varphi_k^* + \varphi_k \dot{\varphi}_k^*) (\dot{\varphi}_{k'} \varphi_{k'}^* + \varphi_{k'} \dot{\varphi}_{k'}^*) dk' + \int^{\Lambda} \frac{2k^2}{k'^2 - k^2} dk' + \int^{\Lambda} 2 dk', \tag{4.17}
\end{aligned}$$

where just the last integral will give rise to a divergence, but this integral is indeed a constant so can be dropped without adding a time dependent quantity.

After letting Λ go to infinity the renormalised $C(k)_R$ read:

$$\begin{aligned}
C(k)_R &= \frac{k^2}{2} \left(|\dot{\varphi}_k|^2 + (m_R^2 + k^2) |\varphi_k|^2 \right) + \frac{\lambda_R}{8} \left(k^2 |\varphi_k|^2 \phi^2 - |\phi \dot{\varphi}_k - \dot{\phi} \varphi_k|^2 \right) \\
&+ \frac{\lambda_R k^2}{32\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \left[k'^2 \coth \frac{\beta W_{k'}}{2} \left(\frac{|\varphi_k \dot{\varphi}_{k'} - \dot{\varphi}_k \varphi_{k'}|^2}{k'^2 - k^2} + |\varphi_k|^2 |\varphi_{k'}|^2 \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2 - 2k' |\varphi_k|^2 - \frac{\Theta(k' - \mu)}{k'} \left(|\dot{\varphi}_k|^2 + k^2 |\varphi_k|^2 \right) \right] dk'
\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

V. RENORMALISED SUM-RULES AND MOMENTA

The aim of the present section is to generalise the sum-rules

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{n,B} = & \Theta_{n+1,B} + m_B^2 \Sigma_{n+1,B} + \Sigma_{n+2,B} \\
& + \frac{\lambda_B}{4} \left[\phi^2 (\Sigma_{n+1,B} - \Theta_{n,B}) - \dot{\phi}^2 \Sigma_{n,B} + 2\phi \dot{\phi} \Xi_{n,B} \right. \\
& \left. + \Sigma_B \Sigma_{n+1,B} + \sum_{p=1}^n \left(\Sigma_{p,B} \Theta_{n+1-p,B} - \Xi_{p,B} \Xi_{n+1-p,B} \right) \right] , n \geq 1 ,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

with renormalised version of the momenta.

The pivotal relations that shall be used are the transcription of the equation of motion in term of the momenta, those read as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\Theta}_n + 2\mathcal{M}^2 \Xi_n + 2\Xi_{n+1} &= 0 \\
\dot{\Sigma}_n &= 2\Xi_n \\
\dot{\Xi}_n + \Sigma_{n+1} + \mathcal{M}^2 \Sigma_n &= \Theta_n
\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

This set of equations are true for bare quantities and we generalise them for regularised and renormalised momenta.

In order, to simplify the discussion the following notation is introduce: the sum-rules are written as:

$$E_n = \Theta_{n+1} + \Sigma_{n+2} + \mathcal{M}^2 \Sigma_{n+1} + F_n, \quad n \geq 1 \tag{5.3}$$

where F_n is a functional depending only on Σ_k , Θ_k and Ξ_k for k at most equal to n ; a similar relation is also true for the energy (E_0):

$$2E_0 = \Theta_1 + \Sigma_2 + \mathcal{M}^2 \Sigma_1 + F_0, \tag{5.4}$$

where F_0 depend on Σ_1 , φ and $\dot{\varphi}$.

The prove is inductive, we shall construct all the renormalised momenta order per order and thus generalising the sum-rules. Using the standard expansion (4.6), one is able to renormalise the first momenta:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{0,R} &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \left(|\varphi_k|^2 \coth \frac{\beta W_k}{2} - \frac{1}{k} \Theta(k - \mu) \right) dk , \\
\Theta_{0,R} &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \left(|\dot{\varphi}_k|^2 \coth \frac{\beta W_k}{2} - k + \frac{v}{k} \Theta(k - \mu) \right) dk .
\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

We straightforwardly construct $\Xi_{0,R}$ and $\Sigma_{1,R}$ using the equation of motion for the momenta:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Xi_{0,R} &= \frac{1}{2} \dot{\Sigma}_{0,R} , \\
\Sigma_{1,R} &= \Theta_{0,R} - (\Xi_{0,R} + \mathcal{M}^2 \Sigma_{0,R}) ,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

one finds that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Xi_{0,R} &= \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \left(\varphi_k \dot{\varphi}_k^* \dot{\varphi}_k \varphi_k^* \right) \coth \frac{\beta W_k}{d} k , \\
\Sigma_R = \Sigma_{1,R} &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \left(k^2 |\varphi_k|^2 \coth \frac{\beta W_k}{2} - k - \frac{v}{2k} \Theta(k - \mu) \right) dk .
\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

One should notice that this last expression is the usual form for the fluctuations. Whenever one wants to make a finite change in the renormalisation of the fluctuation Σ , one should also make a change in the momenta $\Theta_{0,R}$ and for the renormalised mass m_R^2 ; for instance a common change is:

$$\begin{aligned} m_R^2 &\rightarrow m_R^2 + \frac{\lambda_R}{2} \Sigma_{1,R}(0) , \\ \Sigma_{1,R} &\rightarrow \Sigma_{1,R} - \Sigma_{1,R}(0) , \\ \Theta_{0,R} &\rightarrow \Theta_{0,R} - \Sigma_{1,R}(0) . \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

The key point is that this transformation do not modify the equations of motion (5.2).

Using, similar technics one easily finds that:

$$\Xi_{1,R} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\Sigma}_{1,R} = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \left(k^2 \left(\varphi_k \dot{\varphi}_k^* \dot{\varphi}_k \varphi_k^* \right) \coth \frac{\beta W_k}{2} + \frac{\dot{v}}{4k} \Theta(k - \mu) \right) dk . \quad (5.9)$$

In order to find $\Theta_{1,R}$ and $\Sigma_{2,R}$ one should use the following relations together

$$\begin{aligned} 2E_0 &= \Theta_1 + \Sigma_2 + \mathcal{M}^2 \Sigma_1 + F_0 , \\ \dot{\Xi}_1 + \Sigma_2 + \mathcal{M}^2 \Sigma_1 &= \Theta_1 . \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

Since the energy is a constant it can be renormalised, with a simple subtraction:

$$E_{0,R} = E_{0,R}(t_0) + E_{0,B} - E_{0,B}(t_0) \quad (5.11)$$

Moreover F_0 can be renormalised since it is expressed in term of renormalisable quantities. So we just have to solve a set of two linear equations in $\Theta_{1,R}$ and $\Sigma_{2,R}$.

Now one can generalised this to all the momenta by induction. Let suppose that one already has renormalised all the momenta up to Σ_{n+1} , Ξ_n and Θ_n . One easily get:

$$\Xi_{n+1,R} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\Sigma}_{n+1,R} \quad (5.12)$$

To find Θ_{n+1} and Σ_{n+2} , one uses the fact that E_n is a constant so can be renormalised, so one have to solve a set of two linear equations for renormalised quantities.

$$\begin{aligned} E_n &= \Theta_{n+1} + \Sigma_{n+1} + \mathcal{M}^2 \Sigma_{n+2} + F_n , \\ \dot{\Xi}_{n+1} + \Sigma_{n+2} + \mathcal{M}^2 \Sigma_{n+1} &= \Theta_{n+1} , \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

where F_n is a function of already renormalised quantities.

Using this method one is able to both get a renormalisation procedure for the momenta and conserve the form of the sum-rule, that can be written in terms of renormalised quantities:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{n,R} &= \Theta_{n+1,R} + m_R^2 \Sigma_{n+1,R} + \Sigma_{n+2,R} \\ &+ \frac{\lambda_R}{4} \left[\phi^2 (\Sigma_{n+1,R} - \Theta_{n,R}) - \dot{\phi}^2 \Sigma_{n,R} + 2\phi \dot{\phi} \Xi_{n,R} \right. \\ &\left. + \Sigma_R \Sigma_{n+1,R} + \sum_{p=1}^n (\Sigma_{p,R} \Theta_{n+1-p,R} - \Xi_{p,R} \Xi_{n+1-p,R}) \right] , \quad n \geq 1 , \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

OUTLINE

The main result of the paper was to prove the existence of a hierarchy of sum-rules which were given in term of the mode functions of a scalar field in out of equilibrium quantum field theory.

The bare and renormalised conserved quantities read

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{0,B} &= \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{m_B^2}{2}\phi^2 + \frac{\lambda_B}{8}\phi^4 + \frac{\lambda_B}{32\pi^2} \int_0^\Lambda \coth \frac{\beta W_k}{2} \left[|\phi\dot{\phi}_k - \dot{\phi}\varphi_k|^2 + k^2|\varphi_k|^2\phi^2 \right] dk , \\
C(k)_B &= \frac{k^2}{2} \left(|\dot{\varphi}_k|^2 + (m_B^2 + k^2)|\varphi_k|^2 \right) + \frac{\lambda_B}{8} \left(k^2|\varphi_k|^2\phi^2 - |\phi\dot{\phi}_k - \dot{\phi}\varphi_k|^2 \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{\lambda_B k^2}{32\pi^2} \int_0^\Lambda k'^2 \coth \frac{\beta W_{k'}}{2} \left[\frac{|\varphi_k\dot{\varphi}_{k'} - \dot{\varphi}_k\varphi_{k'}|^2}{k'^2 - k^2} + |\varphi_k|^2|\varphi_{k'}|^2 \right] dk' . \tag{5.15}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{0,R} &= \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{m_R^2}{2}\phi^2 + \frac{\lambda_R}{8}\phi^4 \\
&\quad + \frac{\lambda_R}{32\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \left[(|\phi\dot{\phi}_k - \dot{\phi}\varphi_k|^2 + k^2\phi^2|\varphi_k|^2) \coth \frac{\beta W_k}{2} - 2k\phi^2 - \frac{\Theta(k-\mu)}{k}\dot{\phi}^2 \right] dk \\
C(k)_R &= \frac{k^2}{2} \left(|\dot{\varphi}_k|^2 + (m_R^2 + k^2)|\varphi_k|^2 \right) + \frac{\lambda_R}{8} \left(k^2|\varphi_k|^2\phi^2 - |\phi\dot{\phi}_k - \dot{\phi}\varphi_k|^2 \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{\lambda_R k^2}{32\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \left[k'^2 \coth \frac{\beta W_{k'}}{2} \left(\frac{|\varphi_k\dot{\varphi}_{k'} - \dot{\varphi}_k\varphi_{k'}|^2}{k'^2 - k^2} + |\varphi_k|^2|\varphi_{k'}|^2 \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2 - 2k'|\varphi_k|^2 - \frac{\Theta(k'-\mu)}{k'} \left(|\dot{\varphi}_k|^2 + k^2|\varphi_k|^2 \right) \right] dk' \tag{5.16}
\end{aligned}$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The present work was supported with a grant of “Graduiertenkolleg Erzeugung und Zerfälle von Elementarteilchen”. I am specially grateful to H. J. de Vega for pointing out the existence of the work of Wojciechowski [26] and Grosse [27].

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Baacke, K. Heitmann and C. Pätzold, “Renormalization of nonequilibrium dynamics in FRW cosmology”, Phys. Rev. **D 56**, 6556 (1997), hep-ph/9706274.
- [2] D. Boyanovsky, D. Cormier, H. J. de Vega, R. Holman, A. Singh and M. Srednicki, “Scalar field dynamics in Friedman-Robertson-Walker space-times”, Phys. Rev. **D 56**, 1939 (1997), hep-ph/9703327.
- [3] D. Cormier, Ph.D. Thesis, “Nonequilibrium field theory dynamics in inflationary cosmology”, hep-ph/9804449.
- [4] D. Boyanovsky, D. Cormier, H. J. de Vega, R. Holman and S. P. Kumar, “Selfconsistent dynamics of inflationary phase transitions”, Phys. Rev. **D 57**, 2166, (1998), astro-ph/9707267.
- [5] D. Boyanovsky, D. Cormier, H. J. de Vega, R. Holman and S. P. Kumar, “Out of equilibrium fields in inflationary dynamics: Density fluctuations”, Erice Chalonge School, Current Topics in Astrofundamental Physics, N. Sánchez et A. Zichichi Editors, p. 119-194, Kluwer, 1998, hep-ph/9801453.
- [6] D. Boyanovsky, D. Cormier, H. J. de Vega, R. Holman and S. P. Kumar, “Nonperturbative quantum dynamics of a new inflation model,” Phys. Rev. **D 57**, 2166 (1998), hep-ph/9709232.
- [7] D. Boyanovsky, H. J. de Vega, R. Holman and J. F. J. Salgado, “Preheating and reheating in inflationary cosmology: A Pedagogical survey”, in the proceedings of Network Meeting of the Observatoire de Paris on String Gravity, Paris, France, 6-7 Jun 1996, astro-ph/9609007.
- [8] D. Cormier and R. Holman, “Spinodal inflation”, hep-ph/9812476.
- [9] J. Baacke, K. Heitmann and C. Pätzold, “Nonequilibrium dynamics: Preheating in the SU(2) Higgs model”, Phys. Rev. **D 55**, 7815 (1997), hep-ph/9612264.
- [10] D. Boyanovsky, H. J. de Vega, R. Holman and J. F. J. Salgado, “Analytic and numerical study of preheating dynamics”, Phys. Rev. **D 54**, 7570 (1996), hep-ph/9608205.
- [11] D. Boyanovsky, H. J. de Vega, R. Holman, S. P. Kumar, R. D. Pisarski and J. Salgado, “Nonequilibrium real time dynamics of quantum fields: Linear and nonlinear relaxation in scalar and gauge theories”, Lecture delivered at TFT98, the Vth International Workshop on Thermal Field Theories and Their Applications, Ratisbona (Regensburg), Germany, 10-14 August 1998, hep-ph/9810209.
- [12] E. Calzetta and B. L. Hu, “Dissipation Of Quantum Fields From Particle Creation”, Phys. Rev. **D 40**, 656 (1989).
- [13] D. Boyanovsky, H. J. de Vega, R. Holman and J. Salgado, “Nonequilibrium Bose-Einstein condensates, dynamical scaling and symmetric evolution in the large N Φ^4 theory”, Phys. Rev. **D 59**, 125009 (1999), hep-ph/9811273.
- [14] J. Baacke, K. Heitmann and C. Pätzold, “On the choice of initial states in nonequilibrium dynamics”, Phys. Rev. **D 57**, 6398 (1998), hep-th/9711144.
- [15] D. Boyanovsky, H. J. de Vega, R. Holman, S. Prem Kumar and R. D. Pisarski, “Nonequilibrium evolution of a ‘Tsunami’: Dynamical symmetry breaking”, Phys. Rev. **D 57**, 3653 (1998), hep-ph/9711258.
- [16] J. Baacke, K. Heitmann and C. Pätzold, “Nonequilibrium dynamics: A Renormalized computation scheme”, Phys. Rev. **D 55**, 2320 (1997), hep-th/9608006.
- [17] J. Baacke, K. Heitmann and C. Pätzold, “Nonequilibrium dynamics of fermions in a

- spatially homogeneous scalar background field”, Phys. Rev. **D 58**, 125013 (1998) hep-ph/9806205.
- [18] D. Boyanovsky, H. J. de Vega, R. Holman and M. Simionato, “Dynamical renormalization group resummation of finite temperature infrared divergences”, hep-ph/9809346.
 - [19] F. Cooper and E. Mottola, “Quantum Back Reaction In Scalar QED As An Initial Value Problem”, Phys. Rev. **D40**, 456 (1989).
 - [20] H. J. de Vega and J. F. J. Salgado, “Order parameter evolution in scalar QFT: Renormalization group resummation of secular terms”, Phys. Rev. **D 56**, 6524 (1997), hep-th/9701104.
 - [21] S. M. Alamoudi, D. Boyanovsky, H. J. de Vega and R. Holman, “Quantum kinetics and thermalization in an exactly solvable model”, Phys. Rev. **D 59**, 025003 (1999), hep-ph/9806235.
 - [22] D. Boyanovsky, C. Destri, H. J. de Vega, R. Holman and J. Salgado, “Asymptotic dynamics in scalar field theory: Anomalous relaxation”, Phys. Rev. **D 57**, 7388 (1998), hep-ph/9711384.
 - [23] J. Baacke, K. Heitmann and C. Pätzold, “Renormalization of nonequilibrium dynamics at large N and finite temperature”, Phys. Rev. **D 57**, 6406 (1998), hep-ph/9712506.
 - [24] D. V. Choodnovsky and G. V. Choodnovsky, “Multidimensional Two-Particle Problem with Noncentral Potential”, Lett. Nuovo Cimento, **22**, 31 (1978).
 - [25] D. V. Choodnovsky and G. V. Choodnovsky, “Completely Integrable Class of Mechanical Systems Connected with Korteweg-de Vries and Multicomponent Schrödinger Equations”, Lett. Nuovo Cimento, **22**, 47 (1978).
 - [26] S. Wojciechowski, “On Lax-Type Representation and Separability of the Anisotropic Harmonic Oscillator in a Radial Quartic Potential”, Lett. Nuovo Cimento, **41**, 361 (1984).
 - [27] H. Grosse, “Quasiclassical Estimates on Moments of the Energy Levels”, Acta Physica Austriaca, **52**, 89-105 (1980).
 - [28] F. Cooper, S. Habib, Y. Kluger, E. Mottola, J. P. Paz and P. R. Anderson, “Nonequilibrium quantum fields in the large N expansion”, Phys. Rev. **D 50**, 2848 (1994), hep-ph/9405352.
 - [29] F. Cooper, S. Habib, Y. Kluger and E. Mottola, “Nonequilibrium dynamics of symmetry breaking in $\lambda\Phi^4$ field theory”, Phys. Rev. **D 55**, 6471 (1997), hep-ph/9610345.